organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

(1S,2R,6R,7aS)-1,2,6-Trihydroxyhexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizin-3-one

F. L. Oliveira,^a K. R. L. Freire,^b R. Aparicio^a* and F. Coelho^b

^aLaboratory of Structural Biology and Crystallography, Institute of Chemistry, University of Campinas, CP6154, CEP 13083-970, Campinas-SP, Brazil, and ^bLaboratory of Synthesis of Natural Products and Drugs, Institute of Chemistry, University of Campinas, CP6154, CEP 13083-970, Campinas-SP, Brazil Correspondence e-mail: aparicio@iqm.unicamp.br

Received 13 December 2011; accepted 18 January 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 100 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.029; wR factor = 0.073; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.0.

In the title compound, C₇H₁₁NO₄, prepared via a Morita-Baylis-Hillman adduct, the five-membered ring bearing three O atoms approximates to a twisted conformation, whereas the other ring is close to an envelope, with a C atom in the flap position. The dihedral angle between their mean planes (all atoms) is 23.11 (9)°. The new stereocenters are created in a *trans*-diaxial configuration. In the crystal, $O-H \cdots O$ and O- $H \cdots (O,O)$ hydrogen bonds link the molecules, generating a three-dimensional network. A weak C-H···O interaction also occurs.

Related literature

For the utilization of this type of pyrrolizidinone as an inihibitor of glicosidase, see: D'Alanzo et al. (2009); Avad et al. (2004) and for their huge therapeutical potential for the treatment of a number of diseases such as cancer, diabetes, and lysosomal storage disorders, see: Baumann (2007). For related literature concerning preparation of the title compound, see: Freire et al. (2007). Analysis of the absolute structure was also performed using likelihood methods, see: Hooft et al. (2008).



Experimental

Crystal data C₇H₁₁NO₄ $M_r = 173.17$

Monoclinic, P2 a = 4.6983 (3) Å b = 14.5424 (10) Å c = 5.5271 (4) Å $\beta = 99.663 \ (3)^{\circ}$ V = 372.28 (4) Å³ Z = 2

Data collection

Bruker Kappa APEXII DUO diffractometer 3697 measured reflections

Refinement - - - 2 - 3 _2 - - - -

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.029$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.073$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.27 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.14	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1229 reflections	Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
112 parameters	537 Friedel pairs
1 restraint	Flack parameter: 0.20 (17)

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1-H1\cdots O2^i$	0.84	1.98	2.8190 (15)	174
$O2-H2\cdots O1^{ii}$	0.84	2.50	3.1745 (15)	138
O2−H2···O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.84	2.25	2.8589 (15)	129
O4−H4···O3 ^{iv}	0.84	1.84	2.6636 (15)	167
$C4-H4A\cdots O4^{ii}$	1.00	2.41	3.3057 (18)	148
Summatry and a	(i) x 1 ,	1 - 1 2.	(ii) $x + 1 + 1$	a 1, (iii)

Cu $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.31 \times 0.27 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$

1229 independent reflections

1228 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\mu = 1.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 100 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.027$

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + 2;$ (ii) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1;$ (iii) $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (iv) x - 1, y, z - 1.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2010); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2010); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999) and PLATON (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2010) and PLATON.

The authors acknowledge the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) and the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for financial support. FLO and KRLF were supported by fellowships from CAPES and FAPESP, respectively. RA and FC are recipients of research fellowships from CNPq.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB6566).

References

- Ayad, T., Génisson, A. & Baltas, M. (2004). Curr. Med. Chem. 8, 1211-1233. Baumann, K. (2007). WO Patent 2007039286; Chem. Abstr. 146, 421836.
- Bruker (2010). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- D'Alanzo, D., Guaragna, A. & Palumbo, G. (2009). Curr. Med. Chem. 16, 473-505.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Freire, K. R. L., Tormena, C. F. & Coelho, F. (2011). Synlett, pp. 2059-2063.
- Hooft, R. W. W., Straver, L. H. & Spek, A. L. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 96-103. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.

Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o586 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812002292]

(1S,2R,6R,7aS)-1,2,6-Trihydroxyhexahydro-1H-pyrrolizin-3-one

F. L. Oliveira, K. R. L. Freire, R. Aparicio and F. Coelho

Comment

Crystallographic data of the title polyhydroxylated pyrrolizidinone are disclosed. Compounds of this class can be used as glycosidase inhibitors and present a huge therapeutical potential for the treatment of a number of diseases such as cancer, diabetes, and lysosomal storage disorders (Baumann, 2007). The title compound has been prepared, for the first time, using a synthetic strategy based on a Morita-Baylis-Hillman adduct, easily obtained from a reaction between *N*-Boc-4(*R*)-hydroxy-2(*S*)-prolinal and methyl acrylate in 70% yield, as a mixture of diastereoisomers. After chromatographic separation, the minor isomer was transformed into the title compound. This compound was synthesized in five steps and 5.2% overall yield.

The asymmetric pyrrolizidinone, $C_7H_{11}NO_4$, a new molecule with four stereocenters from a Morita-Baylis-Hillman adduct is shown in Fig. 1. The crystal packing (Fig. 2) is stabilized by hydrogen bonds. The dihedral angles of H7—C7— C6—H6 = 153.8° and H1A—C1—C7—H7 = 161.6° show that the H atoms 1A, 7 and 6 of the two new stereocenters are created in the *trans*-diaxial configuration. These values agree with the coupling constants values obtained for these protons in the ¹H NMR analysis, ³J_{H6,H7} = 7.2 Hz e ³J_{H1A,H7} = 8.8 Hz. The crystallography parameters for this new molecule confirm its absolute configuration.

Experimental

A solution of pyrrolizidinone (II) (0.10 g, 0.59 mmol) in MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (3:7, 15 mL) was cooled to -72°C. After that a stream of oxygen/ozone was bubbled into it for 8–10 min (the reaction evolution was followed by TLC). Then, NaBH₄ (0.112 g, 4.45 mmol) was added at -72°C and the resulting mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature. The reaction medium was initially acidified to pH 2–3 with a solution of HCl in methanol, then it was neutralized to pH 6–7 with solid Na₂CO₃. The resulting mixture was filtered over a pad of Celite^(*R*) and the solid was washed with methanol. The filtrates were combined and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH 95:05) to afford pyrrolizidinone I (0.08 g), as a white solid, in 80% yield. The title compound was recrystallized by using the liquid-vapor saturation method. The compound was dissolved with ethanol and crystallized with a vapor pressure of a second less polar liquid (chloroform), in a closed camera, providing the slow formation of crystals. [*a*]_D²⁰ + 3 (c 1, MeOH); *M*. p. 150–152°C; IR (KBr, *v*_{max}): 3499, 3374, 2993, 2910, 1681, 1446, 1362, 1327, 1262, 1129, 1111, 1014 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 1.78 (ddd, J 13.4, 5.0, 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-5B); 2.28 (dd, J 13.4, 5.7 Hz, 1H, H-5 A); 3.10 (d, J 12.8 Hz, 1H, H-3B; 3.77 (dd, J 12.9, 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-3 A); 3.91 (m, 1H, H-6); 3.99 (dd, J_{H7,H1A} 8.8, J_{H6,H7} 7.2 Hz, 1H, H-7); 4.60 (d, J_{H7,H1A} 8.8 Hz, 1H, H-1 A); 4.70 (t, J 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-4 A); ¹³C NMR (62.5 MHz, MeOD) δ 40.7, 52.1, 63.0, 73.2, 80.1, 83.3, 174.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF) Calcd. for C₇H₁₂NO₄ [*M* + H]⁺ 174.0766, Found 174.0754.

Refinement

The calculated Flack parameter was F=0.20 (17) (Flack, 1983). Analysis of the absolute structure was also performed using likelihood methods (Hooft *et al.*, 2008) as implemented in *PLATON* (Spek, 2009). The resulting value for the Hooft parameter was y=0.12 (4), with a corresponding probability for an inverted structure smaller than 1 × 10⁻¹⁰⁰. Taken togheter, these results indicate that the absolute structure has been determined correctly.

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2010); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2010); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2010); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).



Figure 1

Molecular view of the title compound showing displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are presented as a small spheres of arbitrary radius.



Figure 2

Title compound involved into hydrogen bonds. The presence of several hydroxyl groups in its structure leads this compound to behave as a sugar.



Figure 3

The conversion of (I) to pyrrolizidinone (II).

(1S,2R,6R,7aS)-1,2,6-Trihydroxyhexahydro- 1H-pyrrolizin-3-one

F(000) = 184
$D_{\rm x} = 1.545 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
Cu <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178$ Å
Cell parameters from 1229 reflections
$\theta = 6.1 - 66.8^{\circ}$
$\mu = 1.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 100 K
Rectangular block, colorless
$0.31 \times 0.27 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker Kappa APEXII DUO diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator Bruker APEX CCD area–detector scans 3697 measured reflections 1229 independent reflections	1228 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.027$ $\theta_{max} = 66.8^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 6.1^\circ$ $h = -5 \rightarrow 5$ $k = -16 \rightarrow 16$ $l = -6 \rightarrow 6$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.029$ $wR(F^2) = 0.073$ S = 1.14 1229 reflections 112 parameters 1 restraint Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0498P)^2 + 0.0546P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.012$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.27$ e Å ⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.41$ e Å ⁻³ Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 537 Friedel nairs
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	Flack parameter: 0.20 (17)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	x	У	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	0.6971 (2)	-0.03528 (7)	0.86162 (19)	0.0163 (3)	
H1	0.7222	-0.0594	1.0016	0.024*	
O2	0.2633 (2)	0.38620 (8)	0.6676 (2)	0.0167 (3)	
H2	0.1802	0.4123	0.5394	0.025*	
03	0.9071 (2)	0.13035 (8)	1.12983 (19)	0.0194 (3)	
O4	0.1530 (2)	0.02523 (7)	0.5015 (2)	0.0167 (3)	
H4	0.0715	0.0504	0.3714	0.025*	
N1	0.5577 (3)	0.20182 (9)	0.8573 (2)	0.0128 (3)	
C1	0.5216 (3)	0.04341 (11)	0.8610 (3)	0.0134 (3)	
H1A	0.3599	0.0303	0.9528	0.016*	
C2	0.6890 (3)	0.12859 (11)	0.9697 (3)	0.0141 (3)	
C3	0.6663 (3)	0.29584 (11)	0.8577 (3)	0.0143 (3)	
H3A	0.8780	0.2966	0.8633	0.017*	
H3B	0.6191	0.3310	0.9992	0.017*	
C4	0.5078 (3)	0.33520 (10)	0.6142 (3)	0.0136 (3)	
H4A	0.6384	0.3753	0.5346	0.016*	

C5	0.4128 (3)	0.25050 (10)	0.4564 (3)	0.0142 (3)
H5A	0.2409	0.2644	0.3318	0.017*
H5B	0.5701	0.2286	0.3721	0.017*
C6	0.3420 (3)	0.17927 (10)	0.6401 (2)	0.0124 (3)
H6	0.1420	0.1887	0.6752	0.015*
C7	0.3995 (3)	0.07635 (12)	0.6019 (3)	0.0132 (3)
H7	0.5501	0.0697	0.4949	0.016*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0237 (5)	0.0097 (6)	0.0144 (5)	0.0043 (5)	0.0003 (4)	0.0025 (5)
O2	0.0219 (5)	0.0113 (6)	0.0152 (5)	0.0040 (4)	-0.0017 (4)	0.0004 (4)
O3	0.0229 (6)	0.0171 (6)	0.0149 (5)	0.0001 (5)	-0.0062 (4)	0.0016 (4)
O4	0.0209 (5)	0.0118 (6)	0.0141 (5)	-0.0016 (4)	-0.0065 (4)	0.0014 (4)
N1	0.0192 (6)	0.0101 (6)	0.0077 (6)	0.0004 (5)	-0.0019 (5)	-0.0002 (5)
C1	0.0175 (7)	0.0110 (7)	0.0111 (8)	0.0019 (6)	0.0007 (6)	0.0014 (5)
C2	0.0196 (7)	0.0140 (8)	0.0086 (7)	-0.0004 (6)	0.0021 (6)	-0.0007 (6)
C3	0.0172 (7)	0.0116 (8)	0.0130 (7)	-0.0010 (6)	-0.0009 (6)	-0.0009 (6)
C4	0.0185 (8)	0.0093 (7)	0.0124 (7)	0.0001 (6)	0.0007 (6)	0.0006 (5)
C5	0.0213 (7)	0.0106 (8)	0.0097 (7)	0.0001 (6)	-0.0005 (6)	0.0014 (6)
C6	0.0152 (7)	0.0113 (8)	0.0098 (7)	0.0010 (6)	-0.0005 (6)	0.0003 (6)
C7	0.0151 (7)	0.0120 (7)	0.0114 (7)	0.0002 (6)	-0.0007 (6)	0.0020 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C1	1.410 (2)	C1—H1A	1.0000
O1—H1	0.8400	C3—C4	1.535 (2)
O2—C4	1.439 (2)	С3—НЗА	0.9900
O2—H2	0.8400	С3—Н3В	0.9900
O3—C2	1.2368 (19)	C4—C5	1.532 (2)
O4—C7	1.409 (2)	C4—H4A	1.0000
O4—H4	0.8400	C5—C6	1.526 (2)
N1—C2	1.331 (2)	C5—H5A	0.9900
N1—C3	1.459 (2)	С5—Н5В	0.9900
N1—C6	1.4721 (18)	C6—C7	1.542 (2)
C1—C7	1.528 (2)	С6—Н6	1.0000
C1—C2	1.535 (2)	С7—Н7	1.0000
C1	109.5	C5—C4—C3	104.56 (12)
C4—O2—H2	109.5	O2—C4—H4A	111.1
C7—O4—H4	109.5	C5—C4—H4A	111.1
C2—N1—C3	127.91 (12)	C3—C4—H4A	111.1
C2—N1—C6	113.83 (12)	C6—C5—C4	104.01 (12)
C3—N1—C6	113.74 (12)	C6—C5—H5A	111.0
O1—C1—C7	112.59 (13)	C4—C5—H5A	111.0
O1—C1—C2	113.15 (12)	C6—C5—H5B	111.0
C7—C1—C2	101.60 (12)	C4—C5—H5B	111.0
01—C1—H1A	109.7	H5A—C5—H5B	109.0
C7—C1—H1A	109.7	N1C6C5	101.20 (12)

C2 C1 H1A	100 7	N1 C6 C7	102.44(12)
$C_2 = C_1 = \Pi I A$	109.7	NI = CO = C/	102.44 (12)
O3—C2—N1	125.51 (15)	C5—C6—C7	120.32 (12)
O3—C2—C1	127.26 (14)	N1—C6—H6	110.6
N1-C2-C1	107.22 (11)	С5—С6—Н6	110.6
N1-C3-C4	103.30 (12)	С7—С6—Н6	110.6
N1—C3—H3A	111.1	O4—C7—C1	110.98 (13)
С4—С3—Н3А	111.1	O4—C7—C6	114.49 (13)
N1—C3—H3B	111.1	C1—C7—C6	102.87 (12)
С4—С3—Н3В	111.1	O4—C7—H7	109.4
НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	109.1	С1—С7—Н7	109.4
O2—C4—C5	111.40 (12)	С6—С7—Н7	109.4
O2—C4—C3	107.38 (12)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H… <i>A</i>
O1—H1···O2 ⁱ	0.84	1.98	2.8190 (15)	174
O2—H2···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.84	2.50	3.1745 (15)	138
O2—H2···O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.84	2.25	2.8589 (15)	129
O4—H4···O3 ^{iv}	0.84	1.84	2.6636 (15)	167
C4—H4A····O4 ⁱⁱ	1.00	2.41	3.3057 (18)	148

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y-1/2, -z+2; (ii) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1; (iii) -x, y+1/2, -z+1; (iv) x-1, y, z-1.